



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 28-29 recite *L. lactis* strains DN105 and MBP71, respectively. In reviewing the Declarations regarding biological deposits of these strains filed on 11/14/02, the examiner noted to Ms. Teskin on 10/15/10 that these Declarations refer to each of the strains as a "plasmid". In order to clarify the Declarations, the examiner requested that applicant's representative submit a statement that the deposits made were microorganisms or bacteria and not plasmids. On 10/19/10, Ms. Teskin confirmed that the paper filed on 10/18/10 refers to the biological deposit Declarations filed on 11/14/02. In a further discussion on 10/21/10 regarding duplicate claims, the examiner noted that claim 43 is a substantial duplicate of claim 37 and Ms. Teskin agreed to amend claim 43 by examiner's amendment to depend from claim 30. In a further discussion on 10/25/10 regarding descriptive support, Ms. Teskin agreed to amend claim 36 to conform to the language of the specification at p. 17, lines 18-19 and to cancel claim 41.